CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2012 First Round July 11, 2012

Project Number CA-12-045

Project Name Downey: The View

Site Address: 8314 2nd Street

Downey, CA 90241 County: Los Angeles

Census Tract: 5509.000

Tax Credit Amounts Federal/Annual State/Total

Requested: \$888,792 \$0 Recommended: \$888,792 \$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Downey Housing Partners, L.P.

Contact: Richard J. Whittingham

Address: 9065 Haven Avenue, Suite 100

Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

Phone: (909) 483-2444 Fax: (909) 483-2448

Email: rwhittingham@nationalcore.org

General partner(s) or principal owner(s): Southern California Housing Development

Corporation of Los Angeles

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Developer: National Community Renaissance of California

Investor/Consultant: Hudson Housing Capital, LLC

Management Agent: National Community Renaissance of California

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1 Total # of Units: 50

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 49 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: HOME

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 5 10 % 45% AMI: 13 25 % 50% AMI: 31 40 %

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: Los Angeles County

TCAC Project Analyst: Jack Waegell

Unit Mix

35 2-Bedroom Units

15 3-Bedroom Units

50 Total Units

| Unit T | Гуре & Number | 2012 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income | 2012 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income | Proposed Rent (including utilities) |
|--------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 2 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 30% | 30% | \$569 |
| 11 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 45% | 45% | \$853 |
| 21 2 | 2 Bedrooms | 50% | 50% | \$948 |
| 3 3 | 3 Bedrooms | 30% | 30% | \$657 |
| 2 3 | 3 Bedrooms | 45% | 45% | \$986 |
| 10 3 | 3 Bedrooms | 50% | 50% | \$1,096 |
| 1 2 | 2 Bedrooms | Manager's Unit | Manager's Unit | \$0 |

Project Financing Residential

Estimated Total Project Cost: \$19,518,910 Construction Cost Per Square Foot: \$126 Estimated Residential Project Cost: \$19,518,910 Per Unit Cost: \$390,378

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

| Source | Amount | Source | Amount |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| JP Morgan Chase | \$8,945,630 | JP Morgan Chase | \$2,385,985 |
| LA County CDC - City of Industry | \$2,286,720 | FHLB AHP | \$490,000 |
| LA County CDC Ind. Energy Efficience | sy \$100,000 | LA County CDC - City of Industry | \$2,286,720 |
| Downey CDC - HOME Funds | \$450,000 | LA County CDC Ind. Energy Efficiency | \$100,000 |
| Downey CDC - Housing Set Aside | \$4,550,000 | Downey CDC - HOME Funds | \$450,000 |
| Tax Credit Equity | \$1,821,841 | Downey CDC - Housing Set Aside | \$4,550,000 |
| | | Deferred Developer Fee | \$146,998 |
| | | Tax Credit Equity | \$9,109,207 |
| | | TOTAL | \$19,518,910 |

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis: \$9,115,813 130% High Cost Adjustment: Yes **Applicable Fraction:** 100.00% **Oualified Basis:** \$11.850.557 Applicable Rate: 7.50% Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: \$888,792 Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): \$1,400,000 Investor/Consultant: Hudson Housing Capital, LLC Federal Tax Credit Factor: \$1.02490

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$9,115,813 Actual Eligible Basis: \$17,509,757 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$11,880,640 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$14,263,305

Adjustments to Basis Limit:

Parking Beneath Residential Units Local Development Impact Fees 95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family Second: 55.079%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 7.50% of the qualified basis. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information: None.

Legal Status: Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency:

The Local Reviewing Agency, the City of Downey, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual \$888.792 State Tax Credits/Total \$0

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation, any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected, and a Final Reservation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Additional Conditions: None.

| Points System | Max. Possible | Requested | Points |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------|
| romus System | Points | Points | Awarded |
| Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Public Funds | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Owner / Management Characteristics | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| General Partner Experience | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Management Experience | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Housing Needs | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Site Amenities | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Within ¼ mile of transit stop, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Within ¼ mile of public library | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ½ mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Large Family proj. w/i ¼ mile of public school project children may attend | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Within ¼ mile of a pharmacy | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Service Amenities | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LARGE FAMILY HOUSING TYPE | | | |
| Service Coordinator, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, minimum 60 hrs/yr instruction | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Sustainable Building Methods | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| NEW CONSTRUCTION | | | |
| Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: LEED | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Energy efficiency beyond CA Building Code Title 24 requirements: 20% | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Lowest Income | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Basic Targeting | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Readiness to Proceed | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Universal Design | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Smoke Free Residence | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total Points | 148 | 148 | 148 |

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.